UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/688,986	10/17/2000	Hung-Che Chiu	MR2349-504	2403	
4586 POSENBERG	7590 01/08/2007 VIEIN & LEE		EXAMINER		
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE 3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101			BORLINGHAUS, JASON M		
ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3693		
	•		<u></u>	-	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandarinant	09/688,986	CHIU, HUNG-CHE			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jason M. Borlinghaus	3693			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
The maintained Date of this communication app	·	on coponacino au			
This application is abandoned in view of:	•				
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _	·			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper rep	oly, to the non-		
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8	5).				
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).	eriod for payment of the issue fee (ar	nd publication fee) s	set in the Notice of		
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$_	·		
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).	uired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the No	otice of		
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is		
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire	interest, or all of		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair	ence rendered on and because ms	se the period for sec	eking court review		
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:					
Examiner contacted attorney of record, Allen Wood last office action will be submitted and that application be filing a continuation application concerning the sivia a preliminary amendment.	on will be abandoned. Examiner ame subject matter and will addr	was informed tha ess outstanding s	it applicant will		
	/ James	es Kramer			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra minimize any negative effects on patent term.	aw the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be	e promptly filed to		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Pa	aper No. 20070102		